

ADDENDUM REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

RFP: PAX0129 Addendum No: 11 Date of Addendum: 04/06/2015

This addendum incorporates the following changes, questions, and answers to the above-referenced RFP.

- 1. Q) Section 0500, item 5.2, The City desires detection of a lost cart in hopper alarm. Does your current lift system hardware provide an electronic input sensor notification of such situations that our solution could tap into?
 - A) See revised Attachment B Vehicle and Equipment List
- 2. Q) Will the proposer be required to supply and install any RFID tags on the vehicle itself?
 - A) Yes, if the vender solution requires RFID technology
- 3. Q) With Reference to Attachment B Vehicle and Equipment List, there are additional questions pertaining to whether or not a touch screen control display is needed in the vehicle, AVL only is needed, number of tippers for semi-automated vehicles, RFID reader (s) requested, safety cameras present, and whether or not onboard scales are present. Also, which vehicles are roll off. These are individual vehicle specific requirements. In order to supply the answers for each VIN# in the fleet, a spreadsheet of these questions is enclosed herein and suggested for communicating the answers from the City. Can the City respond by completing answers per the spreadsheet "Vehicle Specific Vendor Questions" or in a similar format specific for each vehicle?
 - A) See revised Attachment B Vehicle and Equipment List
- 4. (Q) The City requested separate pricing for a pilot phase. Which vehicles will be involved in this initial pilot, and what system functionality is expected to be tested as part of the pilot? Will all of the requested third-party system integrations be a part of this test, as this may require a substantial amount of development time before the pilot could begin?
 - A) Ideally, the pilot should simulate functionality of our automated and semi-automated collection vehicles which are used on Garbage, Recycling and Brush/Bulk routes as well as testing the functionality of the work management system proposal. These would represent the maximum use of the various technologies comprised in this upgrade. The more complete the pilot, the better the simulation results.
- 5. (Q) Is there any expectation of minimal back office integration work to be done as part of the requested Pilot? Explain. How many trucks and types would be expected to take part in the Pilot?
 - A) At a minimum, the pilot should include replication of the proposed system. This would include both a schematic diagram presentation as well as physical components configured to successfully demonstrate system functionality. To properly asses the work management system and functionality of the in-vehicle device, integration must allow for testing of all required functionality as outlined in the RFPs architecture.
- 6. (Q) Scope of Work, Section 4.11, can the City confirm that any switch out mandate the proposer would take on during the course of the 5 year support contract would be separately billable?
 - A) The cost proposal prepared by the vendor should include maintenance cost proposals. The final costs will be negotiated and agreed upon during the contract phase between the City and the selected vendor.

- 7. (Q) Section F TAB 6 in the Proposal Format, for the description, can be provided at the Work Package level rather than each task? If not, can you confirm that a task list in Excel format with a description for each task would meet their expectation from a formatting perspective? (If so, would it also be possible to supply the notes field for each task originating from Microsoft Project).
 - A) A task list in Excel format would be sufficient. Using the notes field from MS Project is acceptable also.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN T		OTHER TERMS	AND CONDITIONS RE	MAIN THE SAME
---	--	-------------	-------------------	---------------

BY THE SIGNATURES affixed below, this Addendum is hereby incorporated into and made a part of the above-referenced Request for Proposal.

APPROVED BY:	Sai Xoomsai, Senior Buyer Specialist Purchasing Office	<u>04/06/2016</u> Date
ACKNOWLEDGED BY:		
Vendor Name	Authorized Signature	Date

<u>RETURN A COPY OF THIS ADDENDUM</u> to the City of Austin Purchasing Office with your proposal. Failure to do so may constitute grounds for rejection of your offer.

Solicitation Addendum Page 2 of 2